

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,839	07/16/2003		Philip E. Eggers	A-1-9	A-1-9 1768	
21394	7590	04/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ORPORATION	COHEN	COHEN, LEE S		
680 VAQUEROS AVENUE SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-3523				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3739		

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/621,839	EGGERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lee S. Cohen	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	→						
	$lue{}$						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>52-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-75</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>52-75</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		od.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/02/03,12/04/03</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/621,839

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 52, 61, 65-68, 70, 74, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Roos (4,116,198). Applicant's attention is directed to the Figure 1 embodiment and column 5, lines 21-30.

Claims 52, 55-58, 61, 63, 65, 67-70, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Doss (4,381,007). Applicant's attention is directed to the Figure 7, in particular, and column 3, lines 29-67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roos (4,116,198). The particular dimensions are within the scope of the Roos disclosure since the active and return electrodes are closely spaced. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ such spacing.

Claims 53, 54, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doss (4,381,007). The particular dimensions are within the scope of the Doss disclosure since the active and return electrodes are closely spaced. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/621,839

Art Unit: 3739

the skilled artisan to employ such spacing. The particular materials are well known and within the skill of the artisan to select to optimize performance of the method.

Claims 71-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doss (4,381,007) in view of Knowlton (5,871,524). The Doss reference fails to disclose feedback control of the power generator. Knowlton discloses a similar device employing feedback based on impedance and temperature sensing. The addition of such a feature to Doss would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to better control the application of power to the electrodes for superior performance and patient safety.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 52-75 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-62 of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,095. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope of the same method.

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 703-308-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

LSC April 26, 2004